UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,181	04/23/2007	Gianfranco D'Amato	03910/0211512-US0	7651
	7590 02/08/201 ARDSON P.C. (NY)	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2	DEMEREE, CHRISTOPHER R		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/583,181	D'AMATO, GIANFRANCO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		CHRISTOPHER DEMEREE	3782				
The N Period for Reply	MAILING DATE of this communication $a p_i$	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mo - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute red by the Office later than three months after the mailin erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Besno	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	December 2010					
· ' '	` '	s action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> —	, 						
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	•		, 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6				
Disposition of C	Claims						
4) Claim(4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-11 and 22-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of 1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim (6) Claim(s) <u>1-6, 9-11 and 22-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Amelia dia a Dan							
Application Pap	ers						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ecification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applica	nt may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oa	th or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
a)∏ All	vledgment is made of a claim for foreigr b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
	Certified copies of the priority document						
	Certified copies of the priority document						
	Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	, , , ,					
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)							
_	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/M		6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/583,181 Page 2

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/6/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 22, 25-28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benedetti (US 2153911 A) in view of Probst, Jr. (US 3482765 A; hereinafter Probst).

Regarding claims 1-3, 5-7, 11 and 22, Benedetti teaches a container comprising an upper and a lower peripheral edge and first and second connection edges (overlap between 8 and 9) laterally connecting said peripheral edges, one edge extending along the overlap region comprising at least one peripheral recess that is open to the outside (recess 10) which are interconnectable for shaping the container; and a bottom (7). Benedetti lacks a recess formed in each of the overlap edges.

Art Unit: 3782

Probst teaches an envelope construction comprising a peripheral recess (14, 16, 18 and 20) formed in the edge of each opposing side panel of an overlap region (see Figures 1 and 2). Examiner notes that the first and second overlap lines align with the first and second connection edge at least along the portion of the edge of the recesses in order to create a smooth opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Benedetti's container to include a matching recess cut into the edge of both overlapping panels (8 as well as 9) while maintaining both the contents viewing functionality (Probst; Col 1 lines 5-10) and strength characteristics (Probst; Column 1 lines 20-25).

Examiner notes that the "overlap line" defined in the present application is an arbitrary line defined by the terminating edge of the respective opposing overlapping peripheral end of the blank. Examiner considers Benedetti, as modified above, to present an overlap line in respective ends of the blank when a recess exists in each of said opposing peripheral ends. Each recess would extend at least in part along said overlap line in order to accomplish the creation of the view window while maintaining the integrity of the container. An example of how the recesses extend along the overlap line is best shown by Figure 2 of the Probst reference.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Benedetti, as modified above, discloses a container wherein the peripheral recess is rectangular (Benedetti; 10).

Regarding claim 9, Benedetti, as modified above, teaches a container characterized in that the peripheral opening is at least surrounded in part by a coating area (Benedetti; Col 2 lines 1-5).

Regarding claims 25-28, 30 and 31, Benedetti, as modified above, teaches a container wherein the at least one inspection opening is sealed in fluid tight fashion by a transparent film (Benedetti; 11).

4. Claim 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benedetti in view of Probst as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Seufert (US 5031826 A).

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Benedetti, as modified above, discloses the claimed invention except for a lower peripheral edge of the container including a receiving channel that is adapted to receive a peripheral flange of the bottom member (i.e. cup shaped).

Seufert teaches a cup comprising an inspection window (see Fig. 5) wherein the lower peripheral edge of the container includes a receiving channel that is adapted to receive a peripheral flange of the bottom member (see Figures 8-10) and an upper peripheral edge that includes a rolled flange (see Figures 11 and 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Benedetti's container to be cup shaped in order to hold suitable contents (Seufert; Col 1 lines 5-10).

5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benedetti in view of Probst as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Nelson et al. (US 6378763 B1; hereinafter Nelson).

Regarding claim 29, Benedetti, as modified above, discloses the claimed invention except the receptacle comprising an imprint or coloration. Nelson teaches a

container comprising indicia printed thereon (CoI 5 lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to further modify Benedetti's receptacle to include indicia in order to provide a label, as taught by Nelson.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/6/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art lacks (1) an inner edge of a first one of overlapping peripheral recesses extends at least in part along a first overlap line; and (2) an inner edge of a second one of the overlapping peripheral recesses extends along a second overlap line.
 - Examiner notes that the first and second overlap lines align with the first and second connection edge at least along the portion of the edge of the recesses in order to create a smooth opening. Furthermore, Examiner notes that the claims do not require the connection edge to be "collinear" with overlap lines; therefore, Examiner maintains the position that the recesses "align" with the overlap lines at least at a point.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER DEMEREE whose telephone number is (571)270-1982. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00 AM-5:00PM, Alt Fri, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/583,181 Page 6

Art Unit: 3782

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Demeree/ Examiner, Art Unit 3782

/Justin M Larson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782 2/3/11